## AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 2, 2005

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005-06 REGULAR SESSION

## ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 953

## **Introduced by Assembly Member Coto**

February 18, 2005

An act to amend—Section 52055.605 Sections 52055.55, 52055.57, and 52059 of the Education Code, relating to high priority schools public school accountability.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 953, as amended, Coto. Education: High Priority Schools Grant Program. Local Educational Agency Intervention.

Existing law authorizes invited schools that score below the 50th percentile on specified achievement tests to receive funding under the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program. Existing law allows the Superintendent of Public Instruction to assign a management team, trustee, or a school assistance and intervention team to a schoolsite in order to help the school achieve significant improvement on the Academic Performance Index. Existing law requires the Superintendent of Public Instruction to remove the management team, trustee, or school assistance and intervention team from providing services at the schoolsite or any other schoolsite if it fails to assist the school in making significant growth on the Academic Performance Index 36 months after it is assigned to the schoolsite.

This bill would eliminate the application of that sanction with regard to any schoolsite other than the affected schoolsite.

Existing law, the Early Warning Program and the Prevention of Local Educational Agency Intervention Program, provides for a voluntary self-assessment process for local educational agencies that are in danger of being identified as program improvement local **AB 953** -2-

educational agencies under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 and allows those local educational agencies to participate in a prevention program that provides support and funding in order to improve pupil academic achievement.

This bill would eliminate those programs. This bill would require the Superintendent of Public Instruction, by September 1, 2005, to recommend, and the State Board of Education to approve, criteria to allocate funds available in the annual Budget Act for support of local education agencies identified as program improvement local education agencies.

Existing law requires the State Department of Education to establish a Statewide System of School Support to provide a statewide system of intensive and sustained support and technical assistance for school districts and county offices of education with schools in need of improvement. Existing law requires that funds for that system be distributed based on the number of schools and the enrollment of those schools in each region that have been identified as being in need of program improvement under federal law.

This bill would require that funding for that system also be based on the number of school districts in each region that have been identified as being in need of program improvement.

Existing law requires the Superintendent of Public Instruction to identify schools ranked in deciles 1 to 5, inclusive, on the Academie Performance Index and invite those schools to participate in the High Priority Schools Grant Program.

This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to existing law.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no-ves. State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:* 

- SECTION 1. Section 52055.605 of the Education Code is 1
- 2 amended to read:
- SECTION 1. The amount of funds described in clause 7 of
- 4 Item 6110-136-0890 of Section 2.00 of Chapter 208 of the
- Statutes of 2004 shall be used for purposes of school district
- accountability pursuant to Section 52055.57 of the Education
- Code.

-3- AB 953

SEC. 2. Section 52055.55 of the Education Code is amended to read:

- 52055.55. (a) Thirty-six months after the Superintendent—of Public Instruction assigns a management team, trustee, or a school assistance and intervention team to a schoolsite, if the school makes significant growth on the Academic Performance Index, as determined by the State Board of Education, in two consecutive years, the school shall exit the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program and is no longer subject to the requirements of the program.
- (b) Thirty-six months after the Superintendent—of Public Instruction assigns a management team, trustee, or a school assistance and intervention team to a schoolsite, if the management team, trustee, or school assistance and intervention team fails to assist the school in making significant growth on the Academic Performance Index, as determined by the State Board of Education, the Superintendent—of Public Instruction shall remove the management team, trustee, or school assistance and intervention team from providing services at the schoolsite—and any other schoolsite. Additionally, the Superintendent—of Public Instruction shall do at least one of the following:
- (1) Require the school district to ensure, using available federal funds, that 100 percent of the teachers at the schoolsite are highly qualified, as defined by the state for the purposes of the federal No Child Left Behind Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.).
- (2) Require the school to contract, using available federal funds, with an outside entity to provide supplemental instruction to high-priority pupils and assign a management team, trustee, or school assistance and intervention team that has demonstrated success with other state-monitored schools.
- (3) Allow parents of pupils enrolled at the school to apply directly to the State Board of Education to establish a charter school at the existing schoolsite.
  - (4) Close the school.

- 36 SEC. 3. Section 52055.57 of the Education Code is amended 37 to read:
  - 52055.57. (a) (1)—Any provisions that are applicable to local educational agencies under this section are for the purpose of implementing federal requirements under the federal No Child

AB 953 —4—

Left Behind Act (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.). The satisfaction of these criteria by local educational agencies that choose to participate under this article shall be a condition of receiving funds pursuant to this section.

- (2) The department shall identify local educational agencies that are in danger of being identified within three to four years as program improvement local educational agencies under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.), and shall notify those local educational agencies, in writing, of this status and provide those local educational agencies with research-based criteria to conduct a voluntary self-assessment.
- (3) The self-assessment shall identify deficiencies within the operations of the local educational agency, and the programs and services of the local educational agency.
- (4) A local educational agency identified pursuant to paragraph (1) is encouraged to revise its local educational agency plan based on the results of the self-assessment.
- (5) The program described in this subdivision shall be referred to as the "Early Warning Program."
- (b) (1) If a local educational agency is at risk of being identified for program improvement within two years, the department shall invite that local educational agency to participate in the Prevention of Local Educational Agency Intervention Program, which is hereby created. A local educational agency that elects to participate in this program shall do all of the following:
- (A) Conduct a self-assessment using materials and criteria based on current research and provided by the department.
- (B) No later than 30 days after a local educational agency elects to participate in the Prevention of Local Educational Agency Intervention Program, contract with a county office of education or another external entity after working with the county superintendent of schools, for all of the following purposes:
- (i) Verifying the fundamental teaching and learning needs in the schools of that local educational agency as determined by a self-assessment, and identifying the specific academic problems of low-achieving pupils, including a determination of why the

-5- AB 953

prior plan of the local educational agency failed to bring about increased pupil academic achievement.

- (ii) Ensuring that the local educational agency receives intensive support and expertise to implement local educational agency reform initiatives in the revised local educational agency plan as required by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.).
- (C) Revise and expeditiously implement the local educational agency plan of the local educational agency to reflect the findings of the verified self-assessment.
- (2) Subject to the availability of funds in the annual Budget Act for this purpose, a participating local educational agency may annually receive funds based on the following schedule, for no more than three years, for the purpose of fulfilling the requirements of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1):
- (A) A local educational agency consisting of less than 20,000 pupils may annually receive fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000).
- (B) A local education agency consisting of greater than or equal to 20,000 pupils but less than 50,000 pupils may annually receive one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).
- (C) A local educational agency consisting of greater than 50,000 pupils may annually receive two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000).
- (3) If a local educational agency does not elect to participate in the Prevention of Local Educational Agency Intervention Program, the governing board of the local educational agency shall hold a public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to discuss the reasons and rationale for not participating, and to explain the manner in which the local educational agency intends to address the needs of the district.

<del>(c)</del>

- (b) (1) A local educational agency identified as a program improvement local educational agency under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.) shall do all of the following:
- (A) Conduct a self-assessment using materials and criteria based on current research and provided by the department.
- (B) No later than 90 days after a local educational agency becomes identified for program improvement, contract with a county office of education or another external entity after

AB 953 -6-

working with the county superintendent of schools, for all of the
following purposes:
(i) Verifying the fundamental teaching and learning needs in

- (i) Verifying the fundamental teaching and learning needs in the schools of that local educational agency as determined by the local educational agency self-analysis, and identifying the specific academic problems of low-achieving pupils, including a determination of why the prior plan of the local educational agency failed to bring about increased pupil academic achievement.
- (ii) Ensuring that the local educational agency receives intensive support and expertise to implement local educational agency reform initiatives in the revised local educational agency plan as required by the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.).
- (C) Revise and expeditiously implement the local educational agency plan of the local educational agency to reflect the findings of the verified self-assessment.
- (D) After working with the county superintendent of schools or an external verifier, contract with an external provider to provide support and implement recommendations to assist the local educational agency in resolving shortcomings identified in the verified self-assessment.
- (E) If the local educational agency elects to participate in the Prevention of Local Educational Agency Intervention Program pursuant to subdivision (b), the activities performed pursuant to subdivision (b) may serve as the foundation for the requirements of subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C).
- (2) Subject to the availability of funds in the annual Budget Act for this purpose, a local educational agency participating in this program described in paragraph (1) may annually receive fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), and ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each school within the local educational agency, for no more than two years, for the purpose of fulfilling the requirements of this subdivision.
- (3) (A) Subject to the availability of funds in the annual Budget Act for this purpose, if the governing board of a local educational agency participating in this program determines that it needs a grant to implement the recommendations of the county superintendent of schools or another external entity, the governing board may apply to the department for a supplemental

—7— AB 953

grant not to exceed two million dollars (\$2,000,000). The application shall demonstrate the need for additional funding, beyond that currently available to the local educational agency. The department shall submit the request to the State Board of Education with a recommendation of approval or denial.

- (B) The State Board of Education shall notify the requesting local educational agency of the decision. If the State Board of Education approves a grant of an amount less than that applied for, the local educational agency may accept or reject the grant.
- (3) The Superintendent shall, by September 1, 2005, recommend, and the State Board of Education shall approve, criteria to allocate funds available in the annual Budget Act for support of local educational agencies identified as program improvement local educational agencies pursuant to this subdivision.

<del>(d)</del>

1 2

- (c) (1) A local educational agency that has been identified for corrective action under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.), and did not accept a supplemental grant pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (e), shall be subject to one or more of the following sanctions as recommended by the Superintendent of Public Instruction and approved by the State Board of Education:
- (A) Replacing local educational agency personnel who are relevant to the failure to make adequate yearly progress.
- (B) Removing schools from the jurisdiction of the local educational agency and establishing alternative arrangements for the governance and supervision of those schools.
- (C) Appointing, by the State Board of Education, a receiver or trustee, to administer the affairs of the local educational agency in place of the county superintendent of schools and the governing board.
  - (D) Abolishing or restructuring the local educational agency.
- (E) Authorizing pupils to transfer from a school operated by the local educational agency to a higher-performing school operated by another local educational agency, and providing those pupils with transportation to those schools, in conjunction with carrying out not less than one additional action described under this paragraph.

-8-

(F) Instituting and fully implementing a new curriculum that is based on state academic content and achievement standards, including providing appropriate professional development based on—scientifically-based scientifically based research for all relevant staff, that offers substantial promise of improving educational achievement for high-priority pupils.

- (G) Deferring programmatic funds or reducing administrative funds.
- (2) A local educational agency that has been identified for corrective action under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.) and accepted a supplemental grant pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (c), shall be subject to one or more of the following sanctions as recommended by the Superintendent of Public Instruction and approved by the State Board of Education:
- (A) Replacing local educational agency personnel who are relevant to the failure to make adequate yearly progress.
- (B) Removing schools from the jurisdiction of the local educational agency and establishing alternative arrangements for public governance and supervision of those schools.
- (C) Appointing, by the State Board of Education, a receiver or trustee to administer the affairs of the local educational agency in place of the county superintendent of schools and the governing board.
  - (D) Abolishing or restructuring the local educational agency.
- (E) Authorizing pupils to transfer from a school operated by the local educational agency to a higher-performing school operated by another local educational agency, and providing those pupils with transportation to those schools, in conjunction with carrying out not less than one additional action described under this paragraph.
- (3) In addition to the sanctions prescribed by paragraphs (1) and (2), the Superintendent of Public Instruction may recommend, and the State Board of Education may approve, the requirement that a local educational agency contract with a district assistance and intervention team to aid a local educational agency.
- (4) Subject to the availability of funds in the annual Budget Act for this purpose, if the State Board of Education requires a local educational agency to contract with a school assistance and

-9- AB 953

intervention team pursuant to paragraph (3), the local educational agency may annually receive fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000), plus ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each school within the local educational agency, for no more than two years, for the purpose of contracting with and implementing the recommendations of the school assistance and intervention team.

- (5) Not later than July 31, 2005 January 31, 2006, the Superintendent of Public Instruction shall develop and the State Board of Education shall approve, standards and criteria to be applied by a school assistance and intervention team in carrying out their duties. The standards and criteria shall include all of the following areas:
- 13 (A) Governance.
  - (B) Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and assessments to state standards.
    - (C) Fiscal operations.
  - (D) Parent and community involvement.
- 18 (E) Human resources.
- 19 (F) Data systems and achievement monitoring.
- 20 (G) Professional development.
- 21 <del>(e)</del>

(d) A local educational agency that has received a sanction under subdivision—(d) (c) and has not exited program improvement under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.) shall appear before the State Board of Education within three years to review the progress of the local educational agency. Upon hearing testimony and reviewing written data from the local educational agency and the district assistance and intervention team or county superintendent of the schools, the Superintendent—of Public Instruction shall recommend, and the State Board of Education may approve, an alternative sanction under subdivision—(d) (c), or may take any appropriate action.

34 <del>(f)</del>

(e) Subject to the availability of funds in the annual Budget Act for this purpose, a local educational agency that is not identified as a program improvement local educational agency under the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.), that is not eligible to participate in the Prevention of Local Educational Agency Intervention Program,

AB 953 -10-

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

and that has ten or more schools in program improvement, or in which 55 percent or more of the schools are in program 3 improvement, may annually receive up to fifteen thousand 4 dollars (\$15,000) per school so identified for the purposes of 5 supporting schools identified as program improvement schools in the local educational agency and determining barriers to 6 7 improved pupil academic achievement. That local educational agency shall receive no less than forty thousand dollars (\$40,000) and no more than one million five hundred thousand dollars 10 (\$1,500,000) for those purposes. The superintendent Superintendent shall compile a list that ranks each local 11 12 educational agency based on the number of, and percentage of, 13 schools identified as program improvement schools and shall provide this funding to local educational agencies equally from 14 15 each list until all funds appropriated for this purpose are depleted. These funds shall be provided for no more than three years. 16 17

- (g) If there are more local educational agencies that qualify to receive funds under subdivisions (b), (c),  $\frac{d}{d}$ , and  $\frac{f}{e}$  than the amount appropriated for these purposes, the superintendent Superintendent may redirect funding for the purposes of subdivision  $\frac{f}{e}$  (b).
- (h) For purposes of this article, "local educational agency" means a school district, county office of education, or charter school that elects to receive its funding directly pursuant to Section 47651, that provides public educational services to pupils in kindergarten or any of grades 1 to 12, inclusive.
- (i) For purposes of this section, a "stakeholder" is, but is not necessarily limited to, any of the following:
- (1) A parent of a child attending a school within the jurisdiction of the local educational agency.
  - (2) A community partner of the local educational agency.
- (3) An employee of the local educational agency, as selected by the bargaining unit.
- (j) A local educational agency shall not receive funds pursuant to subdivision (b), (c), or (d) (e) if they are initially identified for program improvement or prevention after July 1, 2009.
- 37 SEC. 4. Section 52059 of the Education Code is amended to 38 read:
- 52059. (a) For purposes of complying with the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.), a

-11- AB 953

Statewide System of School Support shall be established by the department to provide a statewide system of intensive and sustained support and technical assistance for school districts, county offices of education, and schools in need of improvement. The system shall consist of regional consortia, which may include county offices of education and school districts, that work collaboratively with school districts and county offices of education to meet the needs of school districts and schools in

need of improvement.

- (b) The system shall provide assistance to school districts and schools in need of improvement by:
- (1) Reviewing and analyzing all facets of a school's operation, including the the following:
- (A) The design and operation of the instructional program offered by the school.
- (B) The recruitment, hiring, and retention of principals, teachers, and other staff, including vacancy issues. The system may request the assistance of the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team to review school district or school recruitment, hiring, and retention practices.
- (C) The roles and responsibilities of district and school management personnel.
- (2) Assisting the school in developing recommendations for improving pupil performance and school operations.
- (3) Assisting schools and school districts in efforts to eliminate misassignments of certificated personnel.
- (c) In carrying out this article, the department shall ensure that support is provided in the following order of priority:
- (1) To school districts or county offices of education with schools that are subject to corrective action under paragraph (7) of subsection (b) of Section 6316 of Title 20 of the United States Code.
- (2) To school districts or county offices of education with schools that are identified as being in need of improvement pursuant to subsection (b) of Section 6316 of Title 20 of the United States Code.
- (3) To provide support and assistance to school districts and county offices of education with schools participating under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. Sec. 6301 et seq.)

AB 953 -12-

1 that need support and assistance to achieve the purposes of that 2 act.

- (4) To provide support and assistance to other school districts and county offices of education with schools participating in a program carried out under this chapter.
- (d) For purposes of this article, all references to schools shall include charter schools.
- (e) Funds shall be distributed under this article based on the number of schools and, the pupil enrollment of in those schools, and the number of school districts in each region that have been identified as being in need of improvement pursuant to Section 6316 of Title 20 of the United States Code, or are participating in the programs conducted under this chapter.

52055.605. (a) The Superintendent, with the approval of the State Board of Education, shall identify schools ranked in deciles 1 to 5, inclusive, on the Academic Performance Index (API).

- (b) The Superintendent shall invite schools identified pursuant to subdivision (a) to participate in the High Priority Schools Grant Program. Notwithstanding subdivision (h) of Section 52053, in order to be eligible for funding from the High Priority Schools Grant Program, a school shall also participate in the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program. A school participating in both programs may elect to submit only one application and one plan for both programs. A school participating in the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program before the date of the enactment of the act adding this section is also eligible for participation in the High Priority Schools Grant Program.
- (c) First priority for participation in the High Priority Schools Grant Program shall be given to schools ranked on the API in decile 1. Second priority shall be given to schools in decile 2. Third priority shall be given to schools in decile 3. Fourth priority shall be given to schools in decile 4. Fifth priority shall be given to schools in decile 5. Within each decile, priority shall be given to the lowest ranked schools.
- (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of law and if funds are available for this purpose, the number of schools within the designated cohorts of the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program pursuant to Section 52053 may exceed the maximum numbers specified in

-13- AB 953

that section in order to participate in the program established pursuant to this article.

- (e) If a school ranked in decile 1 of the API completes the action plan required as part of the application to participate in the federal Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration Program (P.L. 105-78), but there are insufficient funds to allow that school to participate in that program, so long as the action plan meets the requirements of subdivisions (d) and (e) of Section 52054, that school shall be automatically approved to the extent funding is available for participation in the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program and shall be deemed to have complied with the requirements of Section 52054.
- (f) The State Board of Education may allow continuation high schools to apply for and receive funding pursuant to this article if those continuation high schools report pupil performance that is equivalent to that of high schools ranked in deciles 1 and 2 on the Academic Performance Index and the board determines that the state will be able to adequately determine growth in pupil performance in a valid and reliable manner for the purpose of accountability pursuant to this article. The State Board of Education may establish a limit on the number of continuation high schools that may be funded to reflect their proportion of high-priority pupils in grades 9 to 12, inclusive, and may adopt eriteria limiting the eligibility for funding, pursuant to this article, of continuation high schools with a high level of per pupil funding from the continuation high school revenue limit add-on.